

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action issued January 10, 2005, claims 1, 10, and 19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Steed et al., U.S. Published Application No. 2002/0107755 (Steed). Claims 1-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Gershman et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,401,085 (Gershman), in view of Steed.

Claims 1-3, 5, 10-12, 14, 19-21, and 23 are now pending in this application. Claims 1, 5, 10, 14, 19, and 23 have been amended. Claims 4, 6-9, 13, 15-18, 22, and 24-27 have been cancelled, thus, the rejections of those claims are now moot.

The present invention is not anticipated by, nor obvious in view of, the references relied upon in the Office Action, as the prior art references do not disclose or suggest the claimed features of the present invention.

The Applicant respectfully submits that the present invention according to claims 1, 10, and 19 is not anticipated by Steed. Steed discloses a server-based electronic wallet system that detects at a proxy that a wireless device is attempting to access a form from a merchant server. In particular, the proxy identifies an attempt to access a form from a merchant server, where the form requires information to be entered; automatically fills the form with user data; and delivers the filled-form to a wireless device through the gateway, together with a hyperlink to a file stored on a wallet server.

By contrast, the present invention, for example, according to claim 1, requires receiving at least one selection of information to be entered into the at least one field of the form into which information is to be entered made by the user of the mobile device and creating a mapping for the form based on the received selection of information made by the user. Steed discloses that wallet proxy profiles WML pages by storing, for each merchant page supported, a mapping of field definitions to specific values based on user date (including name, address, credit card details and shipping address). A “profiled” WML page in this context is a WML form page whose field definitions have been analyzed and mapped in this manner. Steed does not disclose creating a mapping for the form based on the information that is entered into the form by the user at the mobile device.

Thus, the present invention according to claim 1, and according to claims 10, and 19, which are similar to claim 1, are not anticipated by Steed.

The Applicant respectfully submits that the present invention according to claims 1-3, 5, 10-12, 14, 19-21, 23 is not unpatentable. Gershman discloses a system that facilitates web-based information retrieval and display system. A wireless device prompts a user to input information of interest to the user and the Web is queried to find price, shipping and availability information from various Web suppliers. This information is then available for use by application programs such as wordprocessors, e-mail, accounting, graphical editors and other user tools.

By contrast, the present invention, for example, according to claim 1, requires receiving at least one selection of information to be entered into the at

least one field of the form into which information is to be entered made by the user of the mobile device and creating a mapping for the form based on the received selection of information made by the user. Steed discloses that wallet proxy profiles WML pages by storing, for each merchant page supported, a mapping of field definitions to specific values based on user date (including name, address, credit card details and shipping address). A “profiled” WML page in this context is a WML form page whose field definitions have been analyzed and mapped in this manner. Neither Gershman nor Steed discloses or suggests creating a mapping for the form based on the information that is entered into the form by the user at the mobile device.

Thus, the combination of Gershman and Steed still does not disclose or suggest creating a mapping for the form based on the received selection of information made by the user.

Thus, the present invention according to claim 1, and according to claims 10, and 19, which are similar to claim 1, and according to claims 2-3, 5, 11-12, 14, 20-21, and 23, which depend therefrom, are not unpatentable over Gershman in view of Steed.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present invention is allowable over the references relied upon in the Office Action. Accordingly, favorable reconsideration of this case and early issuance of the Notice of Allowance are respectfully requested.

Additional Fees:

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with this application to Deposit Account No. 19-5127 (19111.0059).

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, all of the Examiner's rejections to the claims are believed to be overcome. The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and issuance of a Notice of Allowance for all the claims remaining in the application. Should the Examiner feel further communication would facilitate prosecution, he is urged to call the undersigned at the phone number provided below.

Respectfully Submitted,



Michael A. Schwartz
Reg. No. 40,161

Dated: April 5, 2005

Swidler Berlin LLP
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
(202) 424-7500